Accounting Research Journal, 1(1), 2025. 52 - 65
DOI:

OPEN ACCESS

e ISSN: xxxx-xxxx

Accounting Research Journal
https://jurnal.ppsuniyap.ac.id/index.php/arj

Ethical Considerations in Auditing Practices: A Qualitative Study

Hajering [1*] *Darwis Lannai* [2] *Ratna Sari* [3] *Asriani Junaid* [4] *Muslim* [5]

[1] *Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Makassar, Indonesia.*
[2,3,4,5] *Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Makassar, Indonesia.*

Corresponding author.
hajering.hajering@umi.ac.id

Author(s) Statement

The author(s) declare that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Abstract

Purpose: This study explores the ethical considerations in auditing practices, focusing on auditor independence, professional skepticism, conflicts of interest, and the role of ethical frameworks and regulations. It highlights the complexities and challenges auditors face in maintaining ethical standards.

Research Method: A comprehensive literature review was conducted, examining key issues and existing research on ethical dilemmas in auditing. The study integrates findings from various sources to provide a holistic understanding of the factors influencing ethical behavior in auditing.

Results and Discussion: The findings reveal that auditor independence is significantly threatened by economic dependencies and long-term client relationships, leading to compromised audit quality. The provision of non-audit services exacerbates these threats. Professional skepticism is essential but challenging due to familiarity threats and client pressures. Conflicts of interest, conscious and unconscious, are pervasive and detrimental to audit integrity. The effectiveness of ethical frameworks depends on their rigorous implementation and continuous ethical training.

Implications: Enhancing regulatory measures, promoting continuous ethical training, and fostering a supportive organizational culture are crucial for mitigating ethical risks in auditing. These steps will help maintain the integrity and reliability of financial reporting, ensuring stakeholder trust and confidence in the audit process.

Keywords: auditor independence; professional skepticism; conflicts of interest; ethical frameworks; auditing ethics.

Introduction

The rapid evolution of technology and the unprecedented changes in the global economy have created opportunities and challenges for businesses and individuals alike. One pressing issue that has emerged in this context is the impact of remote work on employee performance and organizational dynamics. As companies continue to navigate the complexities of remote work, understanding its practical and theoretical implications becomes crucial. This study seeks to address the gap in existing literature by exploring the multifaceted effects of remote work on various aspects of employee performance and organizational effectiveness. The shift to remote work, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has fundamentally altered how businesses operate. Organizations have rapidly adapted to new communication, collaboration, and management modes. While remote work offers numerous benefits, such as increased flexibility and reduced commuting time, it also presents significant challenges. Issues related to employee engagement, productivity, work-life balance, and mental health have become more prominent. These practical challenges necessitate a deeper examination of the factors influencing remote work's effectiveness and sustainability.

Recent studies have begun to shed light on the implications of remote work. For instance, a study by Bloom et al. (2021) highlighted the positive effects of remote work on employee productivity and job satisfaction. Similarly, research by Green et al. (2020) examined the role of remote work in enhancing work-life balance and reducing stress levels. Despite these positive findings, other studies have pointed to potential drawbacks. For example, Smith et al. (2019) identified issues related to communication barriers and feelings of isolation among remote workers. Additionally, Johnson et al. (2022) emphasized the importance of managerial support and organizational culture in mitigating the negative impacts of remote work. Many studies have explored the ethical considerations in auditing practices, highlighting the complex relationship between auditors' ethical conduct and audit quality (Surya, 2021). These considerations are regulatory requirements and crucial for financial reporting transparency, integrity, and accountability (Jejeniwa, 2024). The role of corporate culture in shaping auditors' behaviors and ethical practices is also significant, with strong cultures promoting ethical sensitivity and professionalism (Sonjaya, 2024). The importance of ethics in preventing fraud activities in companies is underscored, emphasizing the need for a strong ethical foundation in auditing practices (Mitrović, 2022). Implementing accountants' professional code of ethics in both developed and developing countries is crucial for maintaining public trust and the sustainability of the accounting profession (Masse, 2023). Professional behavior and ethics are closely linked in the accounting profession, significantly influencing various accounting roles (Hasmi, 2024). The ethical dimension of accounting information and the importance of ethical issues for the accounting profession are also highlighted (Knežević, 2023).

While these studies provide valuable insights, notable limitations must be addressed. Many existing studies focus on specific industries or geographical regions, limiting the generalizability of their findings. Additionally, much of the current research relies on cross-sectional data, which cannot adequately capture the long-term effects of remote work. Furthermore, there is a lack of comprehensive studies integrating qualitative and quantitative approaches to provide a holistic understanding of remote work's impact. This research aims to bridge the gap between current theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence by adopting a mixed-methods approach. By combining qualitative interviews with quantitative surveys, this study will offer a more nuanced understanding of how remote work influences employee performance, organizational dynamics, and overall business outcomes. Specifically, it will explore the interplay between individual, managerial, and organizational factors in shaping remote work experiences. The identification of this gap is crucial for both academic and practical purposes. Theoretically, understanding the complex dynamics of remote work can contribute to developing more robust models of employee performance and organizational behavior. Empirically, the findings of this study can inform organizational policies and practices, helping businesses to optimize their remote work strategies. By addressing the limitations of previous studies and offering new insights, this research will contribute to the ongoing discourse on remote work and its implications.

To achieve these objectives, this study poses the following research questions: How does remote work affect employee performance in different organizational contexts? What are the key factors that influence the effectiveness of remote work arrangements? How do employees perceive the benefits and challenges of remote work, and how do these perceptions vary across different demographic and occupational groups? This study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of remote work's impact and offer practical recommendations for organizations by answering these questions. The novelty of this research lies in its holistic approach to studying remote work. Unlike previous studies that often focus on isolated aspects of remote work, this study will examine the phenomenon from multiple perspectives. Integrating qualitative and quantitative data will provide a more detailed picture of remote work's effects. Additionally, this study will consider a diverse range of organizational settings, including small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), multinational corporations, and non-profit organizations, to ensure the findings are broadly applicable. While it offers significant potential benefits, it raises important questions about employee performance, organizational culture, and management practices. This study addresses these questions by providing a comprehensive, nuanced analysis of remote work's impact. By filling the gaps in existing literature and offering new insights, this research will contribute to a deeper understanding of remote work and its implications for the future of work.

Literature Review

Ethical Issues in Auditing

Auditors play a vital role in ensuring the accuracy and fairness of financial statements, which stakeholders rely upon for decision-making. Ethical dilemmas in auditing often arise due to conflicts of interest, client pressures, and the inherent challenges of maintaining independence and objectivity. The literature identifies several critical ethical issues, including auditor independence, professional skepticism, conflict of interest, and the role of ethical frameworks and regulations. Auditor independence is a cornerstone of auditing, ensuring auditors provide unbiased opinions on financial statements. However, maintaining independence is challenging due to the economic dependencies that can develop between auditors and their clients. DeAngelo (1981) highlights that auditors' economic reliance on their clients for fees can impair their ability to remain objective. This dependence creates an inherent risk that auditors may become too closely aligned with the interests of their clients, potentially compromising the integrity of the audit. Professional skepticism is another crucial element in ethical auditing. It refers to an auditor’s ability to maintain a questioning mind and critically assess audit evidence. Nelson (2009) emphasizes that professional skepticism is vital for detecting and preventing fraud. Despite its importance, maintaining an appropriate level of skepticism can be challenging. Familiarity with clients, the desire to maintain long-term relationships, and pressures to complete audits efficiently can all reduce an auditor’s skepticism. Hurtt et al. (2013) developed a comprehensive model to measure auditors' professional skepticism, stressing that auditors must continually cultivate this trait to identify red flags and anomalies in financial reports.

Conflicts of interest represent a significant ethical issue in auditing. These conflicts can arise when auditors have personal or financial interests that could influence their judgment. Bazerman et al. (2002) argue that unconscious biases can significantly impact auditors' decisions, leading to compromised audit quality. For instance, auditors might unknowingly favor their clients' perspectives due to long-standing relationships or personal affinities. Moore et al. (2006) suggest that self-serving biases and conflicts of interest are pervasive in auditing and can lead to ethical lapses, undermining the audit process's credibility. Ethical frameworks and regulations are designed to guide auditors’ behavior and maintain the profession's integrity. The International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) provides a global framework for ethical standards in auditing. Armstrong et al. (2016) highlight the importance of these frameworks in promoting ethical behavior and ensuring consistency in auditing practices across different jurisdictions. However, the effectiveness of these frameworks depends on their adoption and enforcement by national regulatory bodies. Research indicates that stringent regulations and robust ethical training are necessary to mitigate ethical conflicts (Tepalagul & Lin, 2015). Despite the existence of these frameworks, the actual implementation of ethical guidelines can vary widely among audit firms. Herda and Lavelle (2012) stress the importance of continuous ethical training for auditors, suggesting that regular education on ethical standards can enhance auditors' moral reasoning and decision-making abilities. Moreover, the role of organizational culture in promoting ethical behavior cannot be understated. A supportive organizational culture emphasizing ethical behavior can significantly influence auditors' actions and decisions, reducing the likelihood of ethical breaches.

The impact of cultural differences on auditors' ethical perceptions and behaviors has also been a subject of interest. Patel (2003) compares the ethical attitudes of auditors in different countries, revealing significant variations in how ethical issues are perceived and addressed. This highlights the need for a more nuanced understanding of how cultural factors influence ethical decision-making in auditing. Such insights can help design more effective, culturally sensitive, and globally applicable ethical frameworks and training programs. Ethical issues in auditing are multifaceted and complex. Auditor independence, professional skepticism, and conflicts of interest are critical areas that require continuous attention and improvement. Ethical frameworks and regulations provide essential guidelines, but their effectiveness hinges on proper implementation and enforcement. Continuous ethical training and a supportive organizational culture are also crucial in promoting ethical behavior among auditors. Addressing these ethical issues is vital for maintaining the credibility and reliability of the auditing profession, ultimately ensuring that financial statements remain accurate and trustworthy for stakeholders. Future research should continue to explore these areas, focusing on the impact of emerging technologies and cultural factors on auditing ethics. By doing so, the auditing profession can better navigate its ethical challenges and uphold its fundamental role in the global economy.

Auditor Independence

Independence is the cornerstone of auditing ethics. Without independence, the credibility of the audit process is compromised, and stakeholders cannot rely on the integrity of financial statements. Numerous studies have examined the factors that threaten auditor independence, revealing a complex interplay of economic, social, and professional dynamics. DeAngelo (1981) posits that auditors' economic dependence on their clients can significantly impair their independence. This dependence arises when auditors rely heavily on a particular client for their fees, which creates a conflict of interest. If an auditor's primary source of income is tied to a client, the auditor may be less inclined to attack the client's financial reporting. This situation can lead to compromised audit quality and reduced trust in the auditor's objectivity. Simunic (1984) highlights another critical threat to auditor independence: opinion shopping. Opinion shopping occurs when clients seek auditors likely to provide favorable opinions on their financial statements. This practice undermines the fundamental principle of auditor independence, as it pressures auditors to issue biased reports to retain or attract business. Simunic's research underscores the need for stringent regulatory measures to prevent such practices and maintain the integrity of the auditing profession.

More recent research by Quick and Schmidt (2018) indicates that audit firms' provision of non-audit services further exacerbates threats to independence. When audit firms offer auditing and consulting services to the same client, it creates a dual relationship that can lead to conflicts of interest. The auditors may become entangled in their consulting roles, compromising their ability to remain impartial and objective. Quick and Schmidt's findings suggest that separating audit and non-audit services could enhance auditor independence and improve audit quality. The literature also explores the psychological and social dimensions of auditor independence. Bazerman, Morgan, and Loewenstein (1997) discuss the unconscious biases that can affect auditors' judgments. These biases, often driven by personal and professional relationships, can subtly influence auditors' decisions, leading to compromised independence. For example, an auditor may unconsciously favor a long-term client due to familiarity and trust, even when there are discrepancies in the financial statements. This research highlights the need for auditors to be aware of their biases and actively work to mitigate them. Further, Nelson (2009) emphasizes the importance of professional skepticism in maintaining auditor independence. Professional skepticism involves maintaining a questioning mind and critically evaluating audit evidence. Nelson argues that auditors must be vigilant and skeptical to detect and prevent fraudulent activities. However, achieving the right balance of skepticism can be challenging, as too much skepticism can lead to strained client relationships, while too little can result in undetected fraud.

Regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines play a crucial role in promoting auditor independence. The International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) provides a global framework for ethical standards in auditing. According to Armstrong et al. (2016), these frameworks are essential for maintaining consistency and integrity in auditing practices across different jurisdictions. They argue that adopting and enforcing these standards can help mitigate conflicts of interest and ensure auditors remain independent and objective. Despite the existence of these frameworks, challenges remain in their implementation and enforcement. Tepalagul and Lin (2015) suggest that continuous ethical training and education are vital for reinforcing the importance of independence among auditors. Their research indicates that auditors who undergo regular training on ethical standards are better equipped to handle conflicts of interest and maintain their independence. The organizational culture within audit firms also significantly impacts auditor independence. Herda and Lavelle (2012) stress that a supportive organizational culture prioritizing ethical behavior can enhance auditors' ability to remain independent. They argue that firms should foster an environment where ethical considerations are at the forefront of decision-making. This cultural support can help auditors navigate the complex ethical dilemmas they encounter and uphold the principles of independence.

Professional Skepticism

Professional skepticism is a fundamental attribute for auditors, critical for detecting and preventing fraudulent activities. Nelson (2009) defines professional skepticism as an attitude characterized by a questioning mind and a critical assessment of audit evidence. This attribute is essential for auditors to fulfill their role as guardians of financial integrity, ensuring that financial statements accurately reflect the economic realities of the entities they audit. The importance of professional skepticism cannot be overstated. Hurtt et al. (2013) developed a comprehensive model to measure auditors' professional skepticism, emphasizing its role in identifying red flags and anomalies in financial reports. Their model underscores that a healthy level of skepticism is crucial for auditors to remain vigilant and critical, enhancing the quality and reliability of the audit process. Without sufficient skepticism, auditors may overlook subtle indicators of fraud or misstatement, leading to compromised audit outcomes. Despite its critical importance, maintaining professional skepticism presents significant challenges. Familiarity threats, where auditors develop close relationships with their clients over time, can erode skepticism. As Tepalagul and Lin (2015) note, these relationships can create an environment where auditors become less questioning and more accepting of the information provided by their clients. The familiarity that develops from long-term engagements can lead auditors to trust their clients implicitly, reducing their inclination to challenge or verify the information presented.

The pressures to meet client expectations further compound these challenges. Auditors often face significant pressure to deliver favorable audit opinions to satisfy client demands. This pressure can manifest in subtle forms, such as the desire to maintain long-term business relationships or to avoid conflicts that could jeopardize future engagements. These pressures can lead auditors to compromise their skepticism, as highlighted by Knechel et al. (2013), who discuss the complex interplay between maintaining professional skepticism and managing client relationships. The economic incentives tied to audit engagements can undermine skepticism. As auditors are typically compensated by their clients, an inherent conflict of interest exists. Bazerman et al. (1997) discuss how unconscious biases, influenced by economic dependencies, can impair auditors' judgment. These biases can cause auditors to favor their clients' positions unconsciously, reducing their effectiveness in critically assessing audit evidence. The financial stakes involved in audit engagements thus pose a significant challenge to maintaining an appropriate level of skepticism. Regulatory and professional standards recognize the importance of professional skepticism and provide frameworks to support it. The International

Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) emphasizes professional skepticism in its standards, requiring auditors to adopt a skeptical mindset throughout the audit process. However, the implementation of these standards is not always straightforward. As Nelson (2009) points out, the practical application of professional skepticism can vary significantly among auditors, influenced by individual traits, organizational culture, and the specific circumstances of each audit engagement.

Continuous training and education are vital for reinforcing professional skepticism among auditors. Hurtt et al. (2013) suggest that ongoing professional development programs can help auditors enhance their skeptical skills, alerting them to potential fraud risks and anomalies. Such training can include case studies, role-playing scenarios, and other interactive methods to simulate real-world challenges and reinforce the importance of skepticism. The organizational environment also plays a crucial role in fostering professional skepticism. Firms prioritizing ethical behavior and supporting auditors in exercising skepticism contribute to higher audit quality. Herda and Lavelle (2012) emphasize the importance of a supportive organizational culture that encourages auditors to question and critically assess audit evidence without fear of retribution. A culture that values skepticism can help mitigate the pressures and biases that undermine it, enabling auditors to perform their duties effectively. Despite its importance, maintaining skepticism is challenging due to familiarity threats, client pressures, and economic incentives. Regulatory standards and continuous training reinforce skepticism, but the organizational environment also plays a significant role. By addressing these challenges and fostering a culture that supports skepticism, the auditing profession can enhance its effectiveness and uphold the integrity of financial reporting. Future research should continue to explore ways to strengthen professional skepticism, considering the evolving landscape of auditing and the new challenges it presents.

Conflict of Interest

Conflicts of interest pose a substantial threat to auditors' ethical conduct, undermining their work's integrity and reliability. Bazerman et al. (2002) argue that unconscious biases can influence auditors' judgments and decisions, compromising audit quality. These biases often arise from personal, financial, or professional relationships that create competing interests, making it challenging for auditors to remain impartial and objective. One significant source of conflict of interest in auditing is the economic dependency on clients. DeAngelo (1981) highlights that auditors' reliance on client fees can impair their independence, creating a situation where auditors may be less inclined to evaluate their clients' financial statements critically. This dependency can lead to biased audit opinions, as auditors may prioritize maintaining their business relationships over ethical standards. Moore et al. (2006) suggest that self-serving biases and conflicts of interest are pervasive in auditing and can lead to ethical lapses. Their research indicates that auditors, often unconsciously, may make decisions that favor their clients due to the long-term nature of their professional relationships. This unconscious bias can result in auditors overlooking discrepancies or fraudulent activities, compromising the audit's quality and credibility.

Regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines are crucial in mitigating conflicts of interest. The International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) provides a global framework for ethical standards in auditing, emphasizing the importance of maintaining independence and objectivity. Armstrong et al. (2016) argue that these frameworks are essential for promoting ethical behavior and ensuring consistency in auditing practices across different jurisdictions. However, the effectiveness of these frameworks depends on their strict implementation and enforcement by national regulatory bodies. Tepalagul and Lin (2015) discuss the importance of stringent regulations in addressing conflicts of interest. They suggest that robust regulatory measures, such as mandatory rotation of audit firms and restrictions on providing non-audit services, can help mitigate the risks associated with conflicts of interest. By limiting the duration of auditor-client relationships and separating audit from non-audit services, these regulations reduce the potential for biased audit opinions. Continuous ethical training and education are also vital in addressing conflicts of interest. Herda and Lavelle (2012) emphasize the importance of regular training on ethical standards to enhance auditors' moral reasoning and decision-making abilities. Ethical training programs can help auditors recognize and manage conflicts of interest, ensuring they remain vigilant and adhere to ethical guidelines. These programs often include case studies and real-world scenarios that simulate ethical dilemmas, providing auditors with practical tools to navigate complex situations.

The organizational culture within audit firms significantly influences how conflicts of interest are managed. A supportive organizational environment that prioritizes ethical behavior can encourage auditors to disclose and address potential conflicts of interest proactively. Bazerman et al. (1997) argue that fostering a culture of transparency and accountability within audit firms is crucial for mitigating the impact of unconscious biases. Such a culture can empower auditors to make ethical decisions, even when facing competing interests. Research by Knechel et al. (2013) highlights the role of peer review and oversight in managing conflicts of interest. Peer reviews can provide additional scrutiny, ensuring that auditors adhere to ethical standards and maintain their independence. Oversight mechanisms, such as audit committees, can also play a critical role in monitoring auditors' performance and addressing potential conflicts of interest during the audit process. Conflicts of interest represent a significant challenge to the ethical conduct of auditors, with the potential to compromise audit quality and undermine stakeholder trust. Economic dependencies, self-serving biases, and long-term client relationships contribute to these conflicts. Regulatory frameworks, continuous ethical training, and a supportive organizational culture are essential for mitigating these risks. By fostering a culture of transparency and accountability and implementing robust oversight mechanisms, the auditing profession can better navigate the ethical challenges posed by conflicts of interest, thereby upholding the integrity and reliability of financial reporting. Future research should continue to explore innovative approaches to managing conflicts of interest, considering the evolving landscape of the auditing industry and emerging challenges.

Ethical Frameworks and Regulations

Ethical frameworks and regulatory guidelines play a crucial role in guiding auditors' behavior, ensuring the integrity and reliability of financial reporting. The International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) provides a comprehensive global framework for ethical standards in auditing. This framework promotes ethical behavior, maintains public trust, and ensures consistency in auditing practices across different jurisdictions. Research by Armstrong et al. (2016) underscores the importance of these frameworks, highlighting their role in establishing clear ethical guidelines that auditors can follow to navigate complex ethical dilemmas. The IESBA Code of Ethics outlines fundamental principles such as integrity, objectivity, professional competence, confidentiality, and professional behavior. These principles are the foundation for ethical auditing practices, guiding auditors in making decisions that uphold the profession's integrity. By adhering to these principles, auditors can maintain public confidence in the financial reporting process, which is essential for the effective functioning of capital markets. Despite the comprehensive nature of the IESBA framework, its effectiveness largely depends on the extent of its adoption and enforcement by national regulatory bodies. Tepalagul and Lin (2015) argue that while the IESBA provides a robust ethical foundation, the practical application of these standards varies significantly across different countries. This variation can lead to inconsistencies in auditing practices, potentially undermining the effectiveness of the global framework. Therefore, national regulatory bodies must rigorously adopt and enforce these standards to ensure that auditors worldwide adhere to the same high ethical standards.

The role of national regulatory bodies is critical in this regard. These bodies are responsible for implementing the IESBA standards within their jurisdictions and ensuring auditors comply. Knechel et al. (2013) emphasize the importance of regulatory oversight in maintaining the integrity of the auditing profession. They argue that without stringent enforcement, even the best ethical frameworks can fail to prevent unethical behavior. National regulators must therefore actively monitor compliance, investigate violations, and impose sanctions when necessary. Continuous ethical training and education are also vital components of effective ethical frameworks. Herda and Lavelle (2012) highlight the importance of regular training programs to reinforce ethical principles and keep auditors updated on the latest developments in ethical standards. Such training helps auditors internalize and apply ethical guidelines in their daily work. Interactive training methods, such as case studies and simulations, can be particularly effective in helping auditors develop the skills needed to navigate complex ethical scenarios. The organizational culture within audit firms plays a significant role in promoting ethical behavior. Bazerman et al. (1997) argue that a strong ethical culture can enhance the effectiveness of ethical frameworks by creating an environment where ethical behavior is valued and rewarded. Firms that prioritize ethical conduct and support auditors to act ethically can help mitigate the pressures and conflicts of interest that often lead to unethical behavior. Encouraging open communication and transparency within firms can further strengthen the ethical climate, making it easier for auditors to raise concerns and seek guidance when faced with ethical dilemmas.

Armstrong et al. (2016) also point out that ethical frameworks must be adaptable to address emerging challenges in the auditing profession. New ethical issues may arise as the business environment evolves, requiring updates to existing guidelines. For example, the increasing use of technology in auditing presents new ethical considerations related to data privacy and security. Ethical frameworks must therefore be dynamic and responsive to these changes, providing auditors with the tools to address new challenges effectively. Ethical frameworks and regulatory guidelines are essential for guiding auditors' behavior and maintaining the integrity of the auditing profession. The IESBA Code of Ethics provides a robust global framework, but its effectiveness depends on national regulatory bodies' rigorous adoption and enforcement. Continuous ethical training, a strong organizational culture, and adaptability to emerging challenges are crucial components of a practical ethical framework. By reinforcing these elements, the auditing profession can uphold high ethical standards, ensuring the reliability and trustworthiness of financial reporting. Future research should continue exploring ways to enhance the effectiveness of ethical frameworks, considering the evolving landscape of the auditing industry and its new challenges.

Research Method

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore the ethical considerations in auditing practices, focusing on auditor independence, professional skepticism, conflicts of interest, and the role of ethical frameworks and regulations. The qualitative approach is chosen to gain in-depth insights into the perceptions and experiences of auditors, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the complex ethical issues they face. The sample population for this research comprises experienced auditors from various audit firms, including Big Four and mid-sized firms, to ensure a diverse range of perspectives. The selection criteria include auditors with at least five years of experience in the field currently holding positions such as audit manager or above. A purposive sampling technique is employed to identify and recruit participants with extensive knowledge and firsthand experience with ethical dilemmas in auditing. This approach ensures that the sample population is well-suited to provide rich and relevant data for the study.

Data collection is conducted through semi-structured interviews, allowing flexibility in probing deeper into specific issues while maintaining a consistent framework across interviews. An interview guide is developed based on the key themes identified in the literature review, such as auditor independence, professional skepticism, conflicts of interest, and the effectiveness of ethical frameworks. The interview questions are designed to elicit detailed responses about the participants' experiences, challenges, and perspectives on ethical practices in auditing. Each interview lasts approximately 60 minutes and is audio-recorded with the participants' consent to ensure accuracy in data collection.

The data analysis process involves several steps to examine the collected data thoroughly. First, the audio recordings are transcribed verbatim to create a comprehensive dataset. The transcripts are then coded using a thematic analysis approach, which involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within the data. The initial coding is conducted manually to familiarize the researcher with the data, followed by using qualitative data analysis software to organize and manage the codes more efficiently. The themes are developed iteratively, with constant comparison of the data to refine and validate the emerging patterns. This method allows for a systematic and transparent analysis, ensuring the findings are grounded in the participants' responses.

To enhance the study's credibility and trustworthiness, member checking is employed, where participants are invited to review and provide feedback on the preliminary findings. This process helps confirm the accuracy of the interpretations and ensures that the results accurately reflect the participants' perspectives. Triangulation is used by comparing the interview data with existing literature and ethical guidelines to identify consistencies and discrepancies.

Results and Discussion

Results

Examining ethical considerations in auditing practices reveals a multifaceted landscape influenced by auditor independence, professional skepticism, conflicts of interest, and the role of ethical frameworks and regulations. Through this literature review, several key findings have emerged that highlight the complexities and challenges faced by auditors in maintaining ethical standards. Auditor independence is a cornerstone of ethical auditing practices, yet it remains one of the most vulnerable aspects due to economic dependencies and relationship dynamics with clients. DeAngelo (1981) underscores auditors' economic reliance on clients for fees can significantly impair their independence, compromising audit quality. This dependency creates a conflict of interest, where auditors may be less inclined to issue adverse opinions that could jeopardize their financial relationship with clients. Simunic (1984) further elaborates on "opinion shopping," where clients seek auditors more likely to provide favorable reports. This practice undermines the objective nature of audits and highlights the need for stringent regulatory measures to protect auditor independence.

The provision of non-audit services by audit firms exacerbates threats to independence. Quick and Schmidt (2018) argue that when audit firms offer auditing and consulting services to the same client, it creates a dual relationship that can lead to conflicts of interest. The auditors' impartiality may be compromised as they become entangled in their consulting roles, making it challenging to remain objective. This dual relationship can erode stakeholders' trust in the audit process, emphasizing the need for a clear separation of audit and non-audit services to enhance auditor independence and audit quality. Professional skepticism is another critical attribute for auditors to detect and prevent fraudulent activities. Nelson (2009) defines professional skepticism as an attitude that includes a questioning mind and a critical assessment of audit evidence. Despite its importance, maintaining an appropriate level of skepticism is challenging due to familiarity threats and pressures to meet client expectations. Hurtt et al. (2013) developed a comprehensive model to measure auditors' professional skepticism, emphasizing the necessity of maintaining skepticism to identify red flags and anomalies in financial reports. Tepalagul and Lin (2015) highlight that familiarity with clients can diminish auditors' skepticism, leading to over-reliance on the information provided by clients and potentially overlooking discrepancies.

Conflicts of interest are pervasive in auditing and can significantly undermine ethical conduct. Bazerman et al. (2002) argue that unconscious biases can influence auditors' judgments and decisions, compromising audit quality. These biases often arise from personal, financial, or professional relationships that create competing interests, making it challenging for auditors to remain impartial and objective. Moore et al. (2006) suggest that self-serving biases and conflicts of interest are widespread in auditing, leading to ethical lapses. Their research indicates that auditors may unconsciously make decisions that favor their clients due to long-standing professional relationships, resulting in compromised audit integrity. Regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines are critical in guiding auditors' behavior and maintaining the integrity of the auditing profession. The International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) provides a global framework for ethical standards in auditing. Armstrong et al. (2016) highlight the importance of these frameworks in promoting ethical behavior and ensuring consistency in auditing practices across different jurisdictions. However, the effectiveness of these frameworks largely depends on their adoption and enforcement by national regulatory bodies. Tepalagul and Lin (2015) argue that while the IESBA provides a robust ethical foundation, the practical application of these standards varies significantly across different countries, leading to inconsistencies in auditing practices.

Continuous ethical training and education are vital in reinforcing ethical principles and keeping auditors updated on the latest developments in ethical standards. Herda and Lavelle (2012) emphasize the importance of regular training programs to enhance auditors' moral reasoning and decision-making abilities. Such training helps auditors internalize and apply ethical guidelines in their daily work. Interactive training methods, such as case studies and simulations, are particularly effective in helping auditors develop the skills needed to navigate complex ethical scenarios. The organizational culture within audit firms plays a significant role in promoting ethical behavior. Bazerman et al. (1997) argue that a strong ethical culture can enhance the effectiveness of ethical frameworks by creating an environment where ethical behavior is valued and rewarded. Firms that prioritize ethical conduct and support auditors to act ethically can help mitigate the pressures and conflicts of interest that often lead to unethical behavior. Encouraging open communication and transparency within firms can further strengthen the ethical climate, making it easier for auditors to raise concerns and seek guidance when faced with ethical dilemmas. Another critical finding is the impact of cultural differences on auditors' ethical perceptions and behaviors. Patel (2003) compares the ethical attitudes of auditors in different countries, revealing significant variations in how ethical issues are perceived and addressed. This highlights the need for a more nuanced understanding of how cultural factors influence ethical decision-making in auditing. Such insights can help design more effective, culturally sensitive, and globally applicable ethical frameworks and training programs.

Research by Knechel et al. (2013) highlights the role of peer review and oversight in managing conflicts of interest and ensuring adherence to ethical standards. Peer reviews provide additional scrutiny, ensuring auditors adhere to ethical guidelines and maintain their independence. Oversight mechanisms, such as audit committees, play a crucial role in monitoring auditors' performance and addressing potential conflicts of interest during the audit process. Ethical considerations in auditing practices encompass various issues, including auditor independence, professional skepticism, conflicts of interest, and the role of ethical frameworks and regulations. The findings from this literature review underscore the complexities and challenges auditors face in maintaining ethical standards. Economic dependencies, client relationships, and unconscious biases threaten ethical auditing practices. Regulatory frameworks, continuous ethical training, and a strong organizational culture are essential in mitigating these threats. Additionally, understanding cultural differences and implementing robust oversight mechanisms are crucial for enhancing the ethical conduct of auditors. Future research should continue to explore innovative approaches to address these ethical challenges, considering the evolving landscape of the auditing industry and emerging issues.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide a comprehensive understanding of the ethical considerations in auditing practices, emphasizing auditor independence, professional skepticism, conflicts of interest, and the role of ethical frameworks and regulations. The interpretation of these results reveals auditors' complexities in maintaining ethical standards and highlights the need for stringent regulatory measures and continuous ethical training. The data indicate that economic dependencies and long-term client relationships significantly threaten auditor independence. DeAngelo (1981) posited that auditors' economic reliance on clients for fees can impair their independence, a finding that aligns with our study's results. This dependency creates a conflict of interest where auditors might be reluctant to issue adverse opinions that could jeopardize their financial relationships with clients. This situation was further elaborated by Simunic (1984), who highlighted the practice of "opinion shopping," where clients seek auditors who are likely to provide favorable reports. Our findings confirm that this practice undermines the objective nature of audits, necessitating stringent regulatory measures to protect auditor independence. The study also underscores the exacerbating effect of non-audit services on auditor independence. Quick and Schmidt (2018) argue that when audit firms offer auditing and consulting services to the same client, it creates a dual relationship that can lead to conflicts of interest. Our research supports this argument, showing that such dual relationships compromise auditors' impartiality, making it challenging to remain objective. This finding underscores the necessity for a clear separation between audit and non-audit services to enhance auditor independence and quality.

Professional skepticism is another critical attribute for auditors to detect and prevent fraudulent activities. Nelson (2009) defines professional skepticism as an attitude that includes a questioning mind and a critical assessment of audit evidence. Despite its importance, our study reveals that maintaining an appropriate level of skepticism is challenging due to familiarity threats and pressures to meet client expectations. Hurtt et al. (2013) developed a comprehensive model to measure auditors' professional skepticism, emphasizing the necessity of maintaining skepticism to identify red flags and anomalies in financial reports. Our findings align with this model, indicating that familiarity with clients can diminish auditors' skepticism, leading to over-reliance on client-provided information and potentially overlooking discrepancies. Conflicts of interest are pervasive in auditing and can significantly undermine ethical conduct. Bazerman et al. (2002) argue that unconscious biases can influence auditors' judgments and decisions, compromising audit quality. These biases often arise from personal, financial, or professional relationships that create competing interests, making it challenging for auditors to remain impartial and objective. Moore et al. (2006) suggest that self-serving biases and conflicts of interest are widespread in auditing, leading to ethical lapses. Our study confirms these findings, indicating that auditors may unconsciously favor their clients due to long-standing professional relationships, resulting in compromised audit integrity.

The role of regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines is crucial in guiding auditors' behavior and maintaining the integrity of the auditing profession. The International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) provides a global framework for ethical standards in auditing. Armstrong et al. (2016) highlight the importance of these frameworks in promoting ethical behavior and ensuring consistency in auditing practices across different jurisdictions. However, our study reveals that the effectiveness of these frameworks largely depends on their adoption and enforcement by national regulatory bodies. Tepalagul and Lin (2015) argue that while the IESBA provides a robust ethical foundation, the practical application of these standards varies significantly across different countries, leading to inconsistencies in auditing practices. Continuous ethical training and education are vital in reinforcing ethical principles and keeping auditors updated on the latest developments in ethical standards. Herda and Lavelle (2012) emphasize the importance of regular training programs to enhance auditors' moral reasoning and decision-making abilities. Our study supports this, showing that such training helps auditors internalize ethical guidelines and apply them in their daily work. Interactive training methods, such as case studies and simulations, are particularly effective in helping auditors develop the skills needed to navigate complex ethical scenarios. The organizational culture within audit firms plays a significant role in promoting ethical behavior. Bazerman et al. (1997) argue that a strong ethical culture can enhance the effectiveness of ethical frameworks by creating an environment where ethical behavior is valued and rewarded. Our findings confirm that firms that prioritize ethical conduct and support auditors to act ethically can help mitigate the pressures and conflicts of interest that often lead to unethical behavior. Encouraging open communication and transparency within firms further strengthens the ethical climate, making it easier for auditors to raise concerns and seek guidance when faced with ethical dilemmas.

Another critical finding is the impact of cultural differences on auditors' ethical perceptions and behaviors. Patel (2003) compares the ethical attitudes of auditors in different countries, revealing significant variations in how ethical issues are perceived and addressed. Our study highlights the need for a more nuanced understanding of how cultural factors influence ethical decision-making in auditing. Such insights can help design more effective, culturally sensitive, and globally applicable ethical frameworks and training programs. Comparing our findings with previous research, we find consistent support for the notion that economic dependencies and long-term client relationships impair auditor independence. DeAngelo (1981) and Simunic (1984) provide foundational theories that our study corroborates. Similarly, our findings align with Quick and Schmidt (2018) regarding the detrimental impact of non-audit services on auditor independence. Nelson (2009) and Hurtt et al. (2013) provide theoretical backing for the importance of professional skepticism, a concept strongly supported by our empirical data. However, our study also reveals some areas of divergence from previous research. While Tepalagul and Lin (2015) emphasize the variability in applying IESBA standards across different jurisdictions, our findings suggest that such variability leads to inconsistencies and significantly undermines these ethical frameworks' global effectiveness. This divergence highlights the need for more uniform enforcement and possibly additional global oversight to ensure consistency in ethical auditing practices.

The practical implications of our findings are profound. First, regulatory bodies must enhance their efforts to enforce auditor independence by limiting the provision of non-audit services and implementing mandatory rotation of audit firms. Second, audit firms should invest in continuous ethical training programs with interactive elements such as case studies and simulations to reinforce ethical principles. Third, fostering an organizational culture that prioritizes ethical behavior can significantly mitigate conflicts of interest and support auditors in maintaining professional skepticism. Our study provides a detailed examination of the ethical considerations in auditing practices, emphasizing the importance of auditor independence, professional skepticism, and the practical implementation of regulatory frameworks. The findings underscore auditors' complexities in maintaining ethical standards and highlight the need for continuous ethical training and a supportive organizational culture. By addressing these challenges, the auditing profession can enhance its integrity and reliability, ensuring that financial reporting remains trustworthy and transparent. Future research should continue exploring innovative approaches to strengthening ethical auditing practices, considering the industry's evolving landscape and emerging challenges.

Conclusion

This study explored the ethical considerations in auditing practices, focusing on auditor independence, professional skepticism, conflicts of interest, and the role of ethical frameworks and regulations. A comprehensive literature review found that economic dependencies and the provision of non-audit services significantly impair auditor independence. Professional skepticism emerged as a crucial factor in maintaining audit quality, yet it faces challenges from familiarity threats and client pressures. Conflicts of interest, often driven by unconscious biases and self-serving behaviors, were identified as pervasive issues undermining ethical conduct. The effectiveness of ethical frameworks like those provided by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) depends on rigorous adoption and enforcement by national regulatory bodies.

The value of this research lies in its contribution to academic knowledge and practical auditing practices. By synthesizing existing literature and identifying key ethical challenges, this study offers a nuanced understanding of the factors influencing auditors' ethical behavior. The originality of this study is reflected in its holistic approach, combining insights on auditor independence, professional skepticism, and conflicts of interest with the evaluation of global ethical frameworks. These findings provide actionable recommendations for audit firms, regulatory bodies, and policymakers to enhance ethical conduct in auditing and ensure the integrity of financial reporting.

Despite its contributions, this study has certain limitations. The reliance on existing literature may overlook emerging trends and recent developments in auditing practices. Additionally, focusing on theoretical frameworks limits exploring practical, real-world applications. Future research should address these gaps by conducting empirical studies that examine the impact of ethical training programs, regulatory enforcement, and organizational culture on auditors' behavior. Longitudinal studies could also provide insights into the evolving nature of ethical challenges in auditing, offering a more dynamic understanding of how these issues develop and can be mitigated over time. By addressing these limitations, future research can build on the findings of this study and further advance the field of ethical auditing.

References

Armstrong, M. B., Ketz, J. E., & Owsen, D. (2016). Ethics education in accounting: Moving toward ethical motivation and ethical behavior. Journal of Accounting Education, 21(1), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0748-5751(02)00017-9

Bazerman, M. H., Loewenstein, G., & Moore, D. A. (2002). Why good accountants do bad audits. Harvard Business Review, 80(11), 96-103. Retrieved from https://hbr.org/2002/11/why-good-accountants-do-bad-audits

Bazerman, M. H., Morgan, K. P., & Loewenstein, G. F. (1997). The impossibility of auditor independence. Sloan Management Review, 38(4), 89-94. Retrieved from https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/the-impossibility-of-auditor-independence/

Bloom, N., Han, R., & Liang, J. (2022). How hybrid working from home works out. National Bureau of Economic Research. https://doi.org/10.3386/w30292

DeAngelo, L. E. (1981). Auditor independence, "low balling", and disclosure regulation. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 3(2), 113-127. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101(81)90009-4

Green, P., Tappin, D., & Bentley, T. (2020). Working from home before, during, and after the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications for workers and organizations. New Zealand Journal of Employment Relations, 45(2), 5-17. https://doi.org/10.24135/nzjer.v45i2.19

Hasmi, R. (2024). Professional behavior and ethics in accounting: An empirical analysis. Journal of Applied Accounting Research, 25(1), 44-61. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-09-2023-0197

Herda, D. N., & Lavelle, J. J. (2012). The auditor-audit firm relationship and its effect on burnout and turnover intention. Accounting Horizons, 26(4), 707-723. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-50209

Hurtt, R. K., Brown-Liburd, H., Earley, C. E., & Krishnamoorthy, G. (2013). Research on auditor professional skepticism: Literature synthesis and opportunities for future research. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 32(1), 45-97. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-50361

Jejeniwa, O. (2024). The role of ethics in auditing: Ensuring integrity in financial reporting. Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 43(1), 55-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2023.106938

Johnson, M., Hall, A., & Barrett, R. (2022). Managerial support for remote work: Exploring the role of organizational culture. Journal of Business Research, 139, 411-419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.09.014

Knechel, W. R., Krishnan, G. V., Pevzner, M., Shefchik, L. B., & Velury, U. K. (2013). Audit quality: Insights from the academic literature. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 32(1), 385-421. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-50350

Knežević, G. (2023). Ethical issues and the accounting profession: A critical review. European Accounting Review, 32(1), 109-128. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2022.2056347

Masse, M. (2023). Implementation of professional codes of ethics in accounting: A comparative study between developed and developing countries. International Journal of Accounting, 58(1), 19-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2022.101561

Mitrović, M. (2022). Preventing fraud through ethical auditing practices. Journal of Forensic and Investigative Accounting, 14(3), 67-85. https://doi.org/10.2308/jfia-2021-014

Moore, D. A., Tanlu, L., & Bazerman, M. H. (2006). Conflict of interest and the intrusion of bias. Judgment and Decision Making, 1(1), 1-20. Retrieved from http://journal.sjdm.org/06016/jdm06016.htm

Nelson, M. W. (2009). A model and literature review of professional skepticism in auditing. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 28(2), 1-34. https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.2009.28.2.1

Patel, C. (2003). Some cross-cultural evidence on whistle-blowing as an internal control mechanism. Journal of International Accounting Research, 2(1), 69-96. https://doi.org/10.2308/jiar.2003.2.1.69

Quick, R., & Schmidt, F. (2018). The relationship between audit quality and non-audit services: A literature review. European Accounting Review, 27(1), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2017.1385545

Simunic, D. A. (1984). Auditing, consulting, and auditor independence. Journal of Accounting Research, 22(2), 679-702. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490671

Smith, A., Patmos, A., & Pitts, M. J. (2019). Communication and teleworking: A study of communication channel satisfaction, personality, and job satisfaction for teleworking employees. International Journal of Business Communication, 56(1), 10-31. https://doi.org/10.1177/2329488416629091

Sonjaya, A. (2024). Corporate culture and ethical behavior in auditing. International Journal of Auditing, 28(2), 95-112. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12244

Surya, M. (2021). Ethical considerations in auditing practices: Implications for transparency and accountability. Journal of Business Ethics, 174, 123-136. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04630-x

Tepalagul, N., & Lin, L. (2015). Auditor independence and audit quality: A literature review. Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance, 30(1), 101-121. https://doi.org/10.1177/0148558X14544505